

# STARTUP IDEA SCORECARD

| One sheet per idea

|                |  |                        |  |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--|
| IDEA / COMPANY |  | DATE                   |  |
| ONE-LINE PITCH |  | FOUNDER(S) / EVALUATOR |  |

| #  | CRITERION            | WHAT TO TEST                                                                                   | WT. | SCORE<br>1-10 | WEIGHTED<br>/100 | EVIDENCE / NOTES /<br>ASSUMPTIONS |
|----|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1  | Founder-Market Fit   | Do we have unusual insight, credibility, access or ability to win here?                        | 15% | —             | —                |                                   |
| 2  | Market Size / Growth | Large enough today, or small but expanding fast enough to become very large?                   | 10% | —             | —                |                                   |
| 3  | Problem Acuteness    | Is this a painful / urgent problem rather than merely useful or convenient?                    | 15% | —             | —                |                                   |
| 4  | Competition + Edge   | Does demand already exist, and do we understand what incumbents get wrong?                     | 10% | —             | —                |                                   |
| 5  | Real User Pull       | Do we personally want it, or have we observed users actively seeking / hacking a solution?     | 10% | —             | —                |                                   |
| 6  | Why Now?             | What changed recently (AI, regulation, behaviour, costs, APIs, infrastructure) to enable this? | 10% | —             | —                |                                   |
| 7  | Proxy Evidence       | Is there proof via an analogous company, geography, segment or adjacent market?                | 5%  | —             | —                |                                   |
| 8  | Founder Commitment   | Would we still want to work on this for 5–10 years if it becomes hard / boring?                | 5%  | —             | —                |                                   |
| 9  | Scalability          | Can revenue grow substantially faster than headcount / manual operations?                      | 10% | —             | —                |                                   |
| 10 | Idea-Space Fertility | If this exact idea fails, are there strong adjacent pivots using the same insight / assets?    | 10% | —             | —                |                                   |

**Scoring guide:** 1–2 = weak / unproven   3–4 = below average   5–6 = plausible   7–8 = strong   9–10 = exceptional.   Weighted score = Score × Weight ÷ 10.

|                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RED FLAGS / KILL TESTS | <input type="checkbox"/> Solution in search of a problem <input type="checkbox"/> Tarpit idea <input type="checkbox"/> No clear why-now <input type="checkbox"/> Service disguised as software <input type="checkbox"/> Regulatory / dependency blocker | UPSIDE / MOATS | <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary data <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution advantage <input type="checkbox"/> Network effects <input type="checkbox"/> Switching costs <input type="checkbox"/> Brand / trust <input type="checkbox"/> Technical moat <input type="checkbox"/> Operational moat |
| NEXT VALIDATION        | Single most important assumption to test next:<br>_____                                                                                                                                                                                                 | DECISION       | <input type="checkbox"/> Pursue now <input type="checkbox"/> Validate first <input type="checkbox"/> Park / revisit <input type="checkbox"/> Reject                                                                                                                                                  |
| TOTAL SCORE            | _____ / 100                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | RANK           | Idea # _____ of _____                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

Decision threshold suggestion: 80+ = exceptional | 70–79 = strong | 60–69 = validate | <60 = usually park/reject. Red flags can override score.

## 1) Step-by-step: how to use the sheet

- Use one sheet for one idea only. Do not put multiple ideas on the same scorecard.
- Fill in the basics at the top: idea/company name, date, one-line pitch, and who is scoring it.
- Read each criterion carefully and give a score from 1 to 10. Score the current evidence, not your excitement.
- Write proof in the notes column: customer quotes, interview counts, market facts, competitors, pricing, prototypes, pilots, or assumptions still unproven.
- Calculate the weighted score. Formula:  $\text{Score} \times \text{Weight} \div 10$ . Example: score 8 with a 15% weight = 12 points out of 100.
- Tick any red flags and any moats. A high total score does not cancel a serious red flag.
- Complete the bottom section: the single most important next validation test, the final decision, and the rank compared with your other ideas.

## 2) How to score 1–10

- 1–2 = Very weak. Little evidence, poor fit, or a major problem.
- 3–4 = Weak. Some logic, but important concerns or missing proof.
- 5–6 = Plausible. Could work, but still quite unproven.
- 7–8 = Strong. Good evidence and a credible path to success.
- 9–10 = Exceptional. Rare strength, strong proof, and clear advantage.

**Beginner rule: if you are unsure, do not score 8, 9 or 10. Use 5 or below until you have evidence.**

## 3) What to score with (and what not to do)

- Score based on facts where possible: real customer interviews, observed pain, willingness to pay, market size research, competitor analysis, prototype feedback, pilot usage, and your own direct experience.
- Use the notes column to separate facts from assumptions. An assumption is something you believe but have not yet proved.
- Do not inflate scores because you like the idea. The scorecard is meant to help you reject weak ideas quickly.
- Important rule of thumb: if Founder-Market Fit or Problem Acuteness is below 5, be very cautious even if the total score looks good.

## 4) What each scoring criterion means

### 1. Founder-Market Fit

How well suited you or your team are to solve this. Look for relevant skills, insider knowledge, credibility, access, and motivation.

### 2. Market Size / Growth

How big the market could become. It can score well if it is already large or if it is small today but growing fast.

### 3. Problem Acuteness

How painful and urgent the problem is. “Nice to have” scores lower; “must solve now” scores higher.

### 4. Competition + Edge

Whether demand exists already and whether you have a believable advantage over current options.

### 5. Real User Pull

Whether real users genuinely want this. Signs include active searching, workarounds, complaints, and willingness to pay.

### 6. Why Now?

What changed recently that makes the idea timely now: AI, regulation, cost changes, behaviour changes, APIs, or infrastructure.

### 7. Proxy Evidence

Evidence that something similar works elsewhere: another country, adjacent industry, customer segment, or analogous business.

### 8. Founder Commitment

Whether you could stay committed for years, even if the work becomes hard, repetitive, or less exciting.

### 9. Scalability

Whether revenue can grow faster than manual work and headcount.

### 10. Idea-Space Fertility

Whether this idea opens up nearby pivots or adjacent opportunities using the same learning, customers, or assets.

## 5) Technical terms and plain-English meanings

### Weighted score:

Some criteria matter more than others. Weighting gives more importance to the most important areas.

### Red flag / kill test:

A warning sign that could make the idea not worth pursuing, even if other areas score well.

### Solution in search of a problem:

Starting with a cool technology or product and then trying to invent a problem for it.

### Tarpit idea:

An idea that looks obvious and attractive but has trapped many founders before because of a hidden structural difficulty.

### Service disguised as software:

A business that looks like a software company but actually needs lots of manual human work behind the scenes.

### Regulatory / dependency blocker:

A risk caused by laws, compliance, approvals, or heavy dependence on another platform, partner, supplier, or API.

### Moat:

A durable advantage that makes it hard for competitors to catch you.

### Technical moat:

An advantage based on difficult engineering, algorithms, deep tech, IP, or specialised know-how.

### Operational moat:

An advantage based on execution: better processes, logistics, supply chain, partnerships, service delivery, or speed.

### Other moat examples:

Proprietary data = unique data others do not have.  
Distribution advantage = better way to reach customers.  
Network effects = more users create more value.  
Switching costs = hard for customers to leave.  
Brand / trust = customers buy because they trust you.

**Quick decision tip: Compare total scores across ideas, but pay special attention to low scores in Founder-Market Fit, Problem Acuteness, and Why Now?**